

Dec. 7, 2012 (#1230)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 7, 2012:

More Technocracy Expected from U.N.elected:

**"It's Time to Dismantle the United Nations
Which Intends to Dominate All Human Relations,
From a Meeting Place to Resolve Disputes,
Its Communist Agenda is Beyond Refute,
With its NATO Wing it is Wielding Power,
Plundering Nations, It Wants to Devour
Certain Religions and Still Intact Cultures,
Sharing the Spoils with Corporate Vultures,
Pushes School Curriculum as to Standardize,
Destroying All Normals it Does Vandalize,
Dominating Bureaucrats, Fat, Haughty, Smug,
Climate Change, Taxes, Time to Pull the Plug"**

© Alan Watt Dec. 7, 2012

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 7, 2012 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, *INTERNATIONAL postal money orders* / for Canada, *INTERNAL postal money orders*

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is **Cutting Through The Matrix** on the 7th of
December, 2012. For newcomers, as always, please go into

cuttingthroughthematrix.com and help yourselves to lots of free audios, where I go through the system you're born into and you've lived into all your life, and how your parents lived through it too, your grandparents as well. You're living through an agenda, a planned agenda, a script if you like, where all major things that are happening are happening across the whole planet at the same time, as far as laws go and rules go, and integration of countries, into amalgamations basically, or consortiums, or monopolies is the truth of it actually, and going into this world type government. I go into the history of it, who came up with the idea a long time ago, the massive funding it got at the beginning with all of its members, who were big bankers of their day, and their sons took over it in fact and eventually called it the Royal Institute of International Affairs, which now runs most of the media across the British Empire and parts of the world; its other organizations – because they can't use the word 'Royal' – is called Council on Foreign Relations. They use them in the States and elsewhere across the world.

These guys, literally, have a monopoly on every story that you get told. All the newspaper editors belong to it and the top reporters belong to it, all the top TV characters belong to it as well for your nightly news and so on. So you're living through a planned agenda where your mind is controlled. It's controlled first by your parents who indoctrinate you by *their* indoctrination, which has taken on them, and then the school takes over. Then entertainment takes over from that too, and the little blurbs from regular media keeps you quite happy, content, or confused, for the rest of your life. You never know you're living in a very carefully constructed system, *minutely* constructed for every part of society and all of the changes that were planned a long time ago, and which you're going through now today. You're seeing it all unfold.

So help yourself there. Remember too, you are the audience that bring me to you. I don't bring on advertisers as guests and things like that, so I rely on the listeners to support me by either buying the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com or donating. [Order and donation options listed above.] Straight donations are awfully, awfully, awfully welcome.

But you're going through the biggest changes we've had since the industrial revolution, in fact. Some of the top guys talked about this, who helped plan this part of the system 50, 60, 70 years ago. They actually refer to it like that, when the world would start to amalgamate together. They talked about the coming influence of big corporations for a feudal type system over the world. Now it's called public/private partnerships of course. Much of your government's so-called services or agencies are now privately owned and your government simply rents them you might say. These big massive corporations have their own think tanks, and own advisors to governments; some of these big corporations have bigger governments than some countries, you've got to understand that too.

The public's voice is really getting heard less and less and less. The only ones that get heard in this new democracy – they call it democracy – are the massively organized non-governmental organizations, thousands of them, like a massive army that push all the left-wing agendas. They're all funded by the richest people on the planet, the ones who own the foundations, as fronts to funnel the money to them of course. They are charitable, not-for-profit organizations that hold trillions and trillions of dollars across the world and literally fund these armies that constantly lobby governments. So governments are working in collusion, of course,

with all of this because they know that there's a supergovernment above them. The top guys certainly know, because otherwise they'd never get into politics; they're vetted long ago for that job. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through The Matrix. You understand, everything today comes through the United Nations, all laws have been for a long time actually. Right down to your building codes and all your rights for what you want to do on your land, septic codes, everything comes from the United Nations. Again, the United Nations is a parallel government in a sense. It's not the boss; they are owned by the bosses. But the United Nations is a massive bureaucracy. They have a department for every department to match your national government, and even more too because they also run all the NGOs across the world. They also run all the ones that go out to do abortions across the world. They're right into the schooling of course for all children, to be standardized of course for a world society with the same fake indoctrination. It's fake, because but whatever the UN gives you is basically for a different reason. It's never for the truth or to give the truth out there. The truth wouldn't go and sit very well with people so they have to always lie about their need for a happy, happy little world, which of course will be dominated by a different elite altogether.

The United Nations is responsible for getting all governments to sign different treaties, treaty after treaty after treaty. Apart from the climate change treaties, etc., and the Kyoto and all the other ones that they've pushed governments to sign, they also want to go after the Internet. I'll be doing a story on that tonight too. They want to go after, get the children too off the parents if possible, when and where possible. Because if you go back to the writings of the guys who helped set up these big world organizations, like Bertrand Russell, he talked about that. He said, at one time 'we', meaning this global elite that already existed. He said, AT ONE TIME WE THOUGHT WE'D HAVE TO TAKE ALL THE CHILDREN FROM THEIR PARENTS, BECAUSE THE PARENTS WERE CONTAMINATED - that was a communist term that the communists used as well, and they even thought about it too, if they could take the children away and brainwash the first generation of children, they'd have nothing in common with the parents' values and old-fashioned modes of behavior. So he thought that too, but he found out by getting permission to try experimental schools, that IF THEY GOT THEM YOUNG ENOUGH AND GAVE THEM A SCIENTIFIC INDOCTRINATION, he said, THEN THE PARENTS WILL SIMPLY BECOME THE FINANCIAL CARETAKERS OF THEM, WHILE THE STATE WILL GIVE THEM THEIR VALUES, AND THE PARENTS' INPUT TO THE CHILDREN WILL BE IGNORED BY THE CHILDREN; THEY'D TEACH THE CHILDREN TO IGNORE PARENTS. That's all happened of course.

But they're still going after certain children too; even for political purposes, if their parents are involved in certain things, that's enough to grab your children. Not just in Canada or the States, but across the world. Because again, it's the United Nations, it's a worldwide thing. But you find for instance, this article ties in. I've mentioned before about Katerina Jeleva who has had her child snatched by the authorities in Utah, about a year ago now I guess, maybe more, and the ongoing battles she's had with trying to get the child back, and the dirty, dirty tricks that the State uses to try and keep the child, and you go around in circles forever. It's very much like the movie that's called **The Trial** by Kafka. For those who've never seen it you should watch that Trial movie; it's excellent. And you

just go around and round in circles. You have all these pre-hearings, pre-court hearings, pre-court hearings, endless pre-court hearings. Massive money is spent at these things, but it's taxpayers' money, it's okay, except for the defendant who is trying to get her child back and she has to pay her own costs even for therapists and all that for the child to see. So you have to get the dirty, dirty tricks that go on.

I've given out an interview with her before, and updates to her. There's another update now to let folk who have been following this know what's happening. Brandon Turbeville did an interview recently with her; I'll put that up tonight for those who want to keep following it. Because this is symbolic of what's happening across umpteen countries today. These authorities, so-called authorities started off, remember, like child services and children's aid. They started off as services, *voluntary* services; they did not have authority. But like Lenin said, he said **EVENTUALLY THESE SERVICES IN THE WEST WILL END UP BEING AUTHORITIES OVER THE PEOPLE**. And we're just overloaded with these authorities and we're run in a communistic totalitarian fashion. It's actually beyond communism in fact today. And it ties in too. As I say, I'll put that link up tonight for those who want to follow it.

Episode 9 - Truth on the Tracks with Brandon Turbeville - youtube.com / Nov 29, 2012

And also, this is an article that shows you this can happen to anybody. It doesn't matter who you are, if you have children, this can happen to anybody. This goes back to a story in March and it was in England. It was a woman who was even running for a Member of Parliament.

**The 'experts' who break up families:
The terrifying story of the prospective MP branded an
unfit mother by experts who'd never met her - a nightmare
shared by many other families**

dailymail.co.uk / Sue Reid / 28 March 2012

A little over a year ago, Lucy Allan led what most people would regard as an eminently respectable life.

The middle-class mother, a Tory (*Alan: Conservative.*) councillor, was happily married to her stockbroker husband, Robin, and doted on their ten-year-old son, who loved going to school and was a passionate cricketer.

Indeed, such was Mrs Allan's standing in the community that this accountant and former investment banker was on David Cameron's A-list of potential MPs and a prospective Conservative candidate at the last election.

She devoted her spare time to her council duties. Twice a month, she sat on the local fostering panel, which oversaw the removal of children from their parents and placed them with new families.

It was heart-rending work, as she recalls. 'At each fostering meeting we were presented with horrifying cases of abusive parents, almost always depicted as

“substance abusers”, mentally unstable or “unable to put the needs of their children over their own needs”.

‘Often, this portrayal was supported by an expert report from a psychiatrist, psychologist or medical doctor,’ says Lucy.

‘It never occurred to me, or any member of the panel, that the information we were presented with might be a distorted, twisted fiction — or that the reports were anything other than independent.’ *(A: You understand, in this world that you live in, your whole system, coming right down from the top to the bottom, is based on faith – that’s all – faith, that the ones above you, on the next level, are somehow more pure than you are, and more correct than you are, and really superhuman in a sense. It’s all based on faith. Even the lower politicians base their faith on the ones way above them; that’s why they don’t really know what’s going on. They just accept the word of the guy at the top and go with it; it doesn’t matter what it happens to be. It’s all faith-based. And the whole society structure is based on the same way as well. So anyway, she said it didn’t occur to her that the facts might be distorted, twisted fiction, or anything like that.)*

Now, her view has changed. She suspects that many of the damning reports were written by experts who had never met the families in question, to suit the wishes of social workers under pressure from the Government to increase the number of children adopted. *(A: They actually have quotas now coming from the government.)*

As a result of this process, more and more children are being taken into state foster care.

So why has her faith in the system she once facilitated been shattered? Because, thanks to a bewildering chain of events, this eloquent, educated woman found herself under attack from social workers and fighting to stop her own son being taken into care.

Hers is a Kafkaesque story involving family experts who passed judgment on her fitness as a mother without, in some cases, even meeting her.

Lucy’s story is particularly disturbing in the light of a report released this month which found that decisions about the futures of thousands of children are being based on flawed evidence from well-paid ‘experts’, some of whom are unqualified and, time and again, never meet the families concerned.

The damning study by Professor Jane Ireland, a forensic psychologist, examined more than 127 expert witness reports used in family court cases in three areas of England. She found that 90 per cent were produced by clinicians who no longer practise, but instead earn their living entirely as ‘professional expert witnesses’ paid for by council social work departments. *(A: So they work for them, in other words. They work for the guys who are making the accusations.)* Sixty-five per cent of the reports were poorly or very poorly carried out.

This has led to accusations from MPs *(A: ...Members of Parliament.)*, lawyers

and families that many of the experts are on a gravy train — ‘hired guns’ paid to write precisely what social workers want to read. (A: *...and hear.*)

This month the Mail reported how just such an accusation has been levelled against one leading psychiatrist, Dr George Hibbert — who faces allegations that he deliberately misdiagnosed parents as having mental disorders, which led to them having their children taken by social services. (A: *And that was a big, big story. He was on the take and paid straight by the agencies, making millions every year, for writing up fake reports. By the way, that was back then; he’s still doing it today. He’s still doing it today; I’ve got an article on that too, this famous George Hibbert.*)

John Hemming, a Lib Dem MP who is calling for a national inquiry into the use of expert testimonies in family court hearings, says this dubious system has resulted in families being torn apart and hundreds of children being wrongly taken for adoption from innocent parents.

It is a scenario Lucy Allan feared could happen with her own son. Her nightmare began last March when, aged 46, and having begun to feel depressed for no apparent reason, she decided to go to see a doctor.

‘I am close to my son, so I was worried that he knew I was feeling sad. I went to my local GP surgery expecting to be given a course of anti-depressants and then feel better,’ (A: *...because that’s how everybody is trained, again, by faith and ads and television and so on.*) she recalls.

She was seen by a young female locum, who listened to what Lucy had to say, and then told her she wanted to refer her to social services to ‘see if the family needed support’.

The locum turned to Dr Peter Green, a consultant forensic physician and head of child safeguarding in Wandsworth, South London, where Lucy lives. A flamboyant figure with flowing grey hair and a penchant for bow ties (A: *You never trust people with bow ties, by the way.*), he has written thousands of reports for the family courts.

According to documents seen by the Allan family, Dr Green told the locum his view was that Lucy was ‘very self-centred’ — this despite the fact he had never set eyes on Lucy or spoken to her. (When she later complained about the conclusions he had drawn without even having seen her, the doctor is alleged to have told her he had relied on a ‘gut feel’).

To Lucy’s horror, following Dr Green’s assessment, the locum informed social services that Lucy’s son was at significant risk of harm from his mother.

Thus it was that a woman whose job it had been to make decisions on the fostering panel about which children should be removed from their families suddenly found herself under the most intense scrutiny.

‘Instead of reading reports on another mother’s “emotionally abused” child or her “chaotic” home life, I was reading the same accusations in reports about me and my family,’ she says. (A: *It’s kind of like being, probably, in the*

Communist Party in the Soviet era, and you were the good communist and you went along with it all and without question, and did all the things that were nasty for other people, but you believed in it and so on. And then you find that they accuse you of something, and you're up in court, you know, when they used to confess to everything. It just blows your whole reality away. Because as I say, it's all based on faith. Back with more after this break.)

Hi folks, we're back Cutting Through The Matrix and talking about how the State, all States, really, are just kidnapping children today. And they really go at it. They're ferocious with it too. And mind you too, they have unlimited financing from the taxpayers' coffers. This article goes on to say here...

'Instead of reading reports on another mother's "emotionally abused" child or her "chaotic" home life, I was reading the same accusations in reports about me and my family,' she says.

Social services insisted they interview her son, but as the inquiry unfolded, the evidence from his teachers suggested he was happy and thriving. An independent report from an National Health Service psychiatrist also said Lucy was 'no risk to anyone, including her son'.

But social services hired their own psychiatrist from the Priory Hospital in Roehampton, south-west London — at taxpayers' expense naturally.

Without meeting Lucy or her son, and based only on information provided by social services, the private psychiatrist stated in an 'expert' report that there was an 'urgent need' for the assessment and treatment of Lucy.

The psychiatrist added that there was 'no way' her depression would not have a 'significant impact on her parenting'.

As the investigation dragged on, Lucy underwent a series of interviews by social services and by experts paid by them to examine her and her family. Many of their subsequent reports, says Lucy, were inaccurate, biased and took her family's words out of context. (*A: You wouldn't believe the dirty tricks that they do on women.*)

For example, her son had mentioned that when he got off the school bus, he always asked Lucy how she was, but this was described in one report as: 'Her son demonstrates inappropriate anxiety for the wellbeing of his mother on a daily basis.'

When Lucy admitted taking sleeping pills for insomnia and diazepam (*A: ...that's Valium.*) for anxiety, another report on her said such 'drug abuse would make her barely conscious on a daily basis'.

Her confession of sharing a bottle of wine with husband Robin most nights was written up as 'alcohol abuse', and the risk of Lucy harming her son was deemed to be 'substantiated'.

All this begs the question of how often such judgments are passed down by

‘experts’ and social workers on those less well equipped than Lucy to defend themselves.

She has spent the past year trying to clear her name, paid out £10,000 on legal fees and has had to pull herself off the A-list of David Cameron’s potential Tory (A: *Conservative.*) candidates, quit as a school governor, and, of course, resign from the fostering panel. ‘I am now ineligible for the Criminal Record Bureau check required for working with children or young people,’ she says sadly. Her son’s social services records state that she was once considered a ‘risk’ to him, and it will remain on his file till he is 18.

Finally, at Christmas, the council’s social services said officially no action was required concerning Lucy. She is trying to rebuild her life with the help of husband Robin — who, incredibly, was never interviewed by social services — but still fears she could come under scrutiny again.

‘The system is designed to silence people,’ she says. ‘I have been prescribed anti-depressants and I am better. But at the back of my mind is the fear that if I complain too loudly about the child protection system they will be back at my door.’ (A: *And they would too, they’d come back with a vengeance. So I’ll put this article up tonight too. It mentions here too...*)

Every year, 200 mothers or fathers (A: *...in England.*) are jailed for ‘contempt of court’ for breaking this silence (A: *...because they actually tell you in these private little courts, you can’t speak to anybody... not even your members of Parliament. I mean, what kind of law is this? Secret courts?*) — while the same family courts request the removal of 225 children each week, 97 per cent of whom are never returned to their families.

And that shows you; that’s probably the same stats that are everywhere. And I think in Utah where Katerina Jeleva is it’s probably even worse. Because these guys don’t... If they lose one case, you understand, they are open then for other cases to be looked at with deeper scrutiny, and they don’t want that. Government agencies are disgusting, folks, and there’s just too many and to be honest with you they shouldn’t even be in this field at all, to be honest with you. You’ll always get real abuse happening here or there, and it happened in the past, but generally the people, neighbors and the police find out about it and that deals with it. But once you give little nobodies power – I’m not kidding you – and big money, and plus promotion the more children they get taken away from parents, they go up the ladder. You know, people are pretty disgusting. You understand, most folk, really, are pretty disgusting once they get this kind of power badge. I don’t care who they are. Even a postman can get it, when he gets his little hat, you know, and his little badge; I actually saw that happen. But this is how people really are. And when you’ve got greed involved in it and power and promotion all involved in how many children you snatch, it goes right to their heads and justice doesn’t matter to them, at all. So I’ll put this up tonight.

Also too, this article here, it’s the United Nations, again...

**UNFCCC boss Christiana Figueres’ dreams spell a
nightmarish future for Earth’s citizens**

(A: Interesting history of this woman if you go into her. She came I think from New York, and her parents or something came from supposedly Denmark; their real name was Ferrer and you can actually find out who they are. Anyway, it says...)

This week, as United Nations luminaries gather in Doha, Qatar, for the 18th Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, . . . *(A: I mean, even that whole long term there is typical of what the Soviets would have for it. See, we are Sovietized, for those who didn't know it. See, the post-Soviet era is when it all merged together into one, like the Reece Commission found out back in the 1950s, that was the big plan, and they got that from the foundations. And now the world is a super Soviet, you understand. It's got some differences from the old one; the old one was just a part one. Even Lenin said it, he said, THE DICTATORSHIP WOULD ONLY LAST FOR ABOUT A GENERATION, you know, about 70-odd years - which happened. But I'll go into this woman at this great conference on hot air when I come back from this break.)*

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, talking about the big communist get-together at the United Nations. Very wealthy communists, mind you, because it pays awfully well to be an official NGO leader, paid by the big trillion dollar international foundations. They've never had so much power or salaries and jetsetting as they have today, you know, as they fight for all our freedoms, supposedly, even though you never elect them for anything. And a lot of the communists did move into this whole greening area when the so-called old type of communist system went down. So anyway it says, this...

. . . Executive Secretary Christiana Figueres, the self-described "daughter of a revolutionary," has presented her goals. The most important is a massive transfer of wealth - \$100 billion a year - from soon-to-be formerly rich Europeans and Americans to UN bureaucrats who claim to represent the world's "developing" nations and Earth's poorest citizens. *(A: Now, the United Nations is a private organization, for those who haven't figured it out. They are not democratic. We don't vote them in. We don't even know who's in behind the front man they give you. They've got thousands of bureaucrats.)*

This astonishing concept is beyond surreal. It contends that the world already has enough wealth; that the developing world cannot or ought not generate any new wealth, certainly not from hydrocarbons, but rather should be content with receiving transfer payments monitored by the UN bureaucracy; and that the industrialized world should be put in an economic straitjacket, and yet charged \$1 trillion per decade for climate change reparations and mitigation - on the premise that its carbon dioxide emissions have supplanted the many natural forces that caused extensive and repeated climate changes for eons. *(A: I'm not kidding you, it's absolute nonsense; people all know that. But this is the tactic that they are using. You're talking about armies of non-governmental organizations all working for the top foundations on the planet, the parallel government, and the REAL one for that matter.)*

Coupled with the underlying premise that wealth transfers are the only way to

combat alleged planet-threatening, manmade global warming, is it any wonder that the entire Doha conference is like a bad dream (or horror movie)? Or that this ridiculous saga is taking place in the nation that boasts the world's highest per capita carbon dioxide emissions?

Of course, the UN's objective in Doha extends far beyond wealth transfers. It seeks a total restructuring of world political power, energy systems and economies - with the UN on top and nation states bowing before its ministers, just as a newly elected President Obama bowed before his eminence, King Abdullah of Saudi Arabia.

Just imagine: The gilded Lilliputians have gathered in Doha to strip the giants of their wealth, and oddly enough the giants (the EU and USA) are willing to be stripped naked, but only (apparently) if the emerging economic powers (including China and India) will follow suit and set their own economy-strangling carbon-cutting targets. We are witnessing Mutually Assured Destruction all over again! Except, of course, that China and very likely India will opt out of this charade, laughing all the way to the bank at this grand farce.

Despite 16 years of stable planetary temperatures, and growing evidence that prior projections of rapid warming were based on faulty modeling and outright disinformation, the mainstream media continue to hype the global warming cataclysm talking points.

Associated Press "reporter" Karl Ritter, for example, said the Doha battle "between the rich and the poor" is over "efforts to reach a deal to keep global temperatures from rising more than 2° C, compared to preindustrial times" - when Earth was emerging from the Little Ice Age. He cited a recent World Bank "projection" of an up to 4° C rise by 2100. Even worse, New York Times reporter James Atlas, in the wake of Hurricane Sandy, warned that the Big Apple will likely sink beneath the sea in the next 50 to 200 years.

Both predictions must have been buried somewhere in Nostradamus or the Mayan calendar.

Meanwhile, back in the real world, the Energy Information Administration in 2011 forecast a 53% jump in world energy demand from 2008 levels by 2035. And the International Energy Agency predicted that the U.S. will be the world leader in natural gas production by 2015 and oil production by 2020, with Canada not far behind.

More to the point, despite Figueres' blathering about increased investments in and reduced costs of "clean" energy, the fact is that oil, natural gas and, yes, even coal, will furnish much (if not most) of this expanding demand for energy. Expensive, subsidized, land-hungry, wildlife-killing, food-price-hiking "renewable" energy will remain a small niche player for decades to come.

So, it doesn't matter. I've said this before, this has been a big planned agenda. And even the Rothschilds were in on it from the very beginning to do with carbon credits and so on. They planned it all out. They put their motions forward in Britain and elsewhere, through Parliament, and they want their own private bank

in Switzerland for all the carbon credits of the world to go through. That's an awful lot of cash they'll make overnight in credit money and interest and all the rest of it. You know, see, the big things in life, the people are never consulted on anything. And yet you still believe you're in a democracy. It's such a joke. All the big things that happen, we're not consulted whatsoever. We've never been consulted if you even want these guys making decisions for us. I mean, the United Nations is a *private* organization. All these NGOs are *private* organizations working for *private* foundations. Where's the democracy in any of this? It's not there at all. This is a giant con, folks, and your whole system is a con for that matter. Because what I've just said, the governments could tell you too, if they wanted to. But they won't.

And also the United Nations again, another article came out, that they want to work towards implementing a standard for the Internet...

The UN asks for control over the world's Internet

rt.com / December 5, 2012 22:15

Members of the United Nation's International Telecommunications Union (ITU) have agreed to work towards implementing a standard for the Internet that would allow for eavesdropping on a worldwide scale.

At a conference in Dubai this week, the ITU members decided to adopt the Y.2770 standard for deep packet inspection, a top-secret proposal by way of China that will allow telecom companies across the world to more easily dig through data passed across the Web.

According to the UN, implementing deep-packet inspection, or DPI, on such a global scale will allow authorities to more easily detect the transferring and sharing of copyrighted materials and other protected files by finding a way for administrators to analyze the payload of online transmissions, not just the header data that is normally identified and interpreted.

Anyway, the UN wants total control over the Internet, you know, to keep everything running smoothly, in their direction. Believe you me, there's not a darn thing you can say or do in this day and age, I don't care if you encrypt the stuff or not, it doesn't make any darn difference at all. It's easy stuff for the boys at the top; it's automatic in fact. They know everything you're saying and doing. And this big kind of communist system, for the vast bulk of the population is being monitored to see if they're politically correct or not. They've got all your names. They know what our points of view are on different things. Then know all this stuff. This is what it's for, and to make sure you comply with the whole new big world agenda as we go through it all too. And you will see children getting hauled off for 'readjustment therapy' if they have the *wrong* attitude to their Masters as they come up and get older and so on. It's going to happen more and more and more. No doubt about it whatsoever.

I've mentioned before the big push; Alvin Toffler came out with it with his book **The Third Wave**. Newt Gingrich at the time gave copies of that book out to every Congressman, on the steps of the House as they were going up into the Congress; he gave them all out for free. He was a complete supporter, still is, of Alvin Toffler, this real big... this guy who really wants to vastly reduce the population of the

world, and again, in this totalitarian style demand that we eat this and don't eat that and so on. But he said there, IT'S GOING TO BE A VEGETARIAN WORLD, WHETHER THE PEOPLE LIKE IT OR NOT; he says, THAT'S HOW IT'S GOING TO BE. There's been many, many articles that I've read over the years on the same kind of thing. Anyway, here you go...

Smaller, fewer, thinner - the future for American beef?

bbc.co.uk / 29 Nov 2012

What has become of the US beef industry?

The country that is synonymous with steaks as big as Texas is suffering a serious shortage of cattle. The US national herd is now at an all time low. Numbers peaked at 132 million head of cattle in 1975. At the start of this year this was down to just under 91 million.

Across the US, cattle are sometimes housed in what are called feedlots to be fattened for slaughter. These huge operations on average contain around 3,000 have also suffered a significant drop in numbers, down around 12.5 % on last year.

All bad news for cowboys - So what is going on?

There are long term factors in terms of profitability and rising costs but what's really pushing the decline right now is a potent mix of environmental issues and politics.

(A: Now, one part was the drought they had this year. Every so many years you have a drought and that happens too; it's just the way it's always been.)

...The US has been suffering a desperate drought that has affected around 80 % of the agricultural land across the country. It has been so severe that in certain parts, farmers have been forced to get rid of their cattle as they simply don't have any pasture for them to graze on.

The drought has also affected the yields of grain crops, which are estimated to be down around 13 % on last year. And because US farmers depend on grain to fatten their beef herds, this has increased pressure to get rid of cattle. *(A: ...to get rid of them. So they are, they're just getting rid of their cattle.)*

Adding further complication is the politics. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has had a mandate *(A: ...and it is a mandate, and the link is on here.)* in place since 2005 that requires a certain percentage of US liquid fuel comes from renewable sources. *(A: ...like corn, biofuel and so on.)*

In practice this means blending ethanol made from grain with regular gasoline. This year, as the drought persisted, desperate farmers asked the EPA to set the mandate aside to help cut corn prices. They refused.

This year ethanol production will consume a whopping 42 % of the corn crop, says the US Department of Agriculture.

(A: But they're not going to lower that and feed it to the cattle. And they knew this. Remember there were a lot of articles about it, when they first came up with this whole idea of biofuels, biofuels... another thing dead in the water. It costs more to make the damned stuff than it is to use actually, especially when they've never had such a glut of oil. I've got another article I'll put up tonight too. It's from the big boys themselves and it talks about the oil revolution, now that they're just, you know, floundering in oil. ["The Geopolitics of Energy Project - Oil: The Next Revolution - THE UNPRECEDENTED UPSURGE OF OIL PRODUCTION CAPACITY AND WHAT IT MEANS FOR THE WORLD"] It says...)

According to Dr Stan Bevers from Texas A&M University, this factor is having a huge impact.

"The US beef industry was built on abundant corn supplies, so when a new source of governmental demand takes away roughly 40 % of the corn for ethanol production, the cattle industry must adjust and get smaller."

But bizarrely as the size of the herd has gone down, the size of individual steers has gone up. The cattle are getting fatter with carcass weights around 2 % higher than last year.

But it won't last.

According to Dr Derrell Peel, from Oklahoma State University the current problems could have long term impacts on US beef. He thinks it is likely there will be changes in how cattle are fed. Less grain, more grass, lighter cattle.

"In general that's the tendency," he told me. "The incentives have changed to a more moderate animal size, we could well see finished weights moderate."
(A: ...or smaller.)

The future for American beef cattle is smaller, thinner, fewer. *(A: And by the way, they'll be a lot more expensive.)*

And the future of world meat production tastes a lot like chicken - but that's a story for another day.

So, that is the future, folks, because it was all planned that way a long time ago. Every law they pass, like the one on biofuels, and they knew it all too, and they've had many warnings about it, that it was going to take food out of people's mouths too, grain out of people's mouths, and jack up the costs of what's left, to the people. And it's the same with the animals too, there's less stuff for the animals. This was all planned that way. Again, were you consulted? Was anybody consulted? No, of course not. You're under totalitarian regimes, and all these damned agencies are fronts for other operations.

And this is quite an interesting little story here. It came up a few times; I've seen it over the last week or so.

'Sex for student fees' man

(A: He was a man who advertised in British papers, where they'd pay the fees of female students in order to get them dates with really wealthy businessmen; this was the con this man pulled. Then of course, he was the guy who would find out if they were up to the task, you might say, and he would sort of test drive them.)

unmasked to be IT consultant 'with top-level MoD (A:

...military, Ministry of Defense...) security clearance' (A: ...the top level, who was pulling this scam.)

independent.co.uk / Cahal Milmo , Charlotte Philby , Jonathan Brown / 6 December 2012

The man revealed by The Independent to be running a website offering to pay the tuition fees of female students in return for sex can today be named as a highly paid computer consultant who claims to have top-level Ministry of Defence security clearance and access to top secret material.

Mark Lancaster (*A: He adopted a place name...*), 39, who presented himself as an "assessor" for the SponsorAScholar.co.uk website (*A: That's what it was called, sponsor-a-scholar. It was sex for your fees to get trained.*), has worked as a contractor on a massive overhaul of the IT network used by UK armed forces, military sources confirmed last night. He is also thought to have worked on computer systems used by British forces during the invasion of Iraq.

The Independent this week tracked down the married father-of-two to his £460,000 home on the edge of the South Downs national park in Hampshire after he was filmed asking an undercover reporter to undergo a "practical assessment" with him to prove the "level of intimacy" she could provide for the website's claimed clients.

The Metropolitan Police has been passed a dossier of material concerning SponsorAScholar.co.uk and Mr Lancaster, and is understood to be seeking to establish whether there are grounds for investigating offences.

When confronted by The Independent at his large detached home in the picturesque village of Horndean, near Portsmouth, Mr Lancaster refused to comment, slamming the door and turning the key in the lock.

So this has been how he's been getting his jollies, basically, is to come out with this game here, because as far as they can find out there's no real organization there; he used someone else's site identity. He also had a lot of freebees, you might say, by these young girls. He asked them to dress up like young schoolgirls and all the usual stuff that these guys seem to be into, that are into these £460,000 homes. Now, he's got top security clearance... the TOP... THE top, for the Ministry of Defence of Britain. This is where all the pervs are; they're all up there. So I'll put that up tonight too.

Interestingly enough too, you wouldn't think about Zürich, but...

Zurich to open drive-in sex boxes (A: No kidding.)

telegraph.co.uk / Matthew Day / 28 Nov 2012

The Swiss city of Zurich is to open drive-in sex boxes in an attempt to rid the

town of street prostitution.

Zurich council has approved a plan to build the boxes, which will, it hopes, provide a discreet location for prostitutes and their clients to conduct business when they open in August next year.

Located in an industrial area of the city, the row of garage-like boxes will have roofs and walls for privacy, and easy access for cars. The council estimates that around 30 prostitutes will meet clients at the site of the boxes, and use the drive-in slots on a first-come-first-served basis.

"The big difference is that until now prostitution has been in the public space," Michael Herzig, from Zurich's social welfare department, told Swiss Radio. "Now we are going to change this, move it from the street to a private space in an old industrial area, which belongs to the city. This gives us the possibility to define the rules of prostitution in this area."

The opening of the sex boxes will coincide with a major reform of prostitution laws in the Swiss city. Prostitution will be outlawed in certain areas of Zurich where it has taken grip, and led to local complaints about women being harassed on the streets and the activities of pimps. *(A: So the government is getting into the sex business basically, and they'll get licenses and all of that kind of stuff.)*

The prostitutes who use the sex boxes will also have to take out medical insurance and buy a £26 licence in order to ply their trade. *(A: Plus they'll get taxed too I guess. So quite something as the world changes, isn't it? Back with more after this.)*

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt. We're back Cutting Through The Matrix. We'll go to Jack from Michigan if he's still on the line. Are you there Jack? Hello?

Jack: Yeah. The last couple days we've been talking a lot about gender-bending chemicals and all that. I've been reading through a book by Carl Jung called **Psychology and Alchemy**. And all these pictures in here, from the alchemists, they all center around the hermaphrodite, the merging between the sun and the moon. And some of it, it's just all pretty blatant. I was just thinking that pretty much all their goals have been accomplished and now they have basically the powers of God with the various sciences, especially the science of electricity too, with the positive and negative forces to create the energy with unity. And even Albert Pike goes into how they worship light, the force that drives the sun, which is light, and the prima materia, which would basically be like ether in the old theories in the 1800s and all that. I was just thinking, we're basically kind of entering a new dark age almost. Because I don't even understand how a lot of this technology works, and I've studied a lot of it, and it's just kind of like a mystery.

Alan: Well, the old idea, that goes way back again to the Middle East, the ancient Middle East, was that out of the opposites comes the son - I mean it's the same story with Isis and Osiris and Horus and all the other ones too. It's that out of two perfections, but opposites, the male and the female, would come a perfected one, you see; so that's the one in the middle that you'll see. Actually, that's the symbol of a pyramid too, between the two columns, in masonry. It's the son who is

perfected, or the Mason himself can become perfected with his work. They often use the symbol of the hermaphrodite to show you that as well. But they've gone physically further as you say into creating real hermaphrodites as well. Because the ancient symbology in the very old mystery religions was that God was both male and female. He was hermaphroditic, that was the old conception of it. Plato touches on that and you'll find some of that even in Judaic writings. So, to be like God, you would be more like a hermaphroditic creature, who was balanced, they say, the balance between logic and emotion and you would be perfected by that. But they've actually gone all the way now, as you can see, into promoting a distortion of what is normal, and that's a political goal by a particular group who want to destroy existing cultures to bring in the new. They don't really care about these characters, believe you me, who come out as transsexuals and so on. They really don't care about them. They promote it all, but anything is promoted that will destroy the existing society and the culture, and especially the religions that are always bound with the culture. So a war has been waged to push this to the fore, as though it was the most important thing around and of course it isn't. And that tells you it's a weapon. These are weaponized parts of the culture under attack and it's well-organized by those at the top. But they couldn't care less about these characters. Or the very fact that you don't treat a mental problem with physical surgery; it just doesn't work, as that latest report that I gave out said. But you're quite right. Their symbols of the hermaphrodite are always there, but they also mean the perfection of the offspring, by the *right ones*. So thanks for calling.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Update to Katerina Jeleva's ongoing struggle to get her child back from social services in Utah](#)

[The "Experts" who Break Up Families](#)

[UN Dreams of Reducing West to Poverty](#)

[UN Asks for Control over World's Internet](#)

[US Beef Industry----Future Set to Create Smaller, Fewer Thinner Beef Cattle---
-45% of Corn Feed Goes to Biofuel](#)

[Unprecedented Upsurge in Oil--The Next Revolution](#)

[Sex for Tuition Fees Scam Man Has Ministry of Defence Top Clearance](#)

[Zurich to Open Drive-in Sex Boxes](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

[BOOKS](#) "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:

"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

- CDs** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"